

Date: 8-13-97

EXPRESS MAIL LABEL NO. EM080912607US

Inventor(s): Patrick W.K. Lee, James Strong and Matthew  
C. Coffey

Attorney's Docket No.: TDC97-01

REOVIRUS FOR THE TREATMENT OF NEOPLASIA

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Normal cell proliferation is regulated by a balance  
between growth-promoting proto-oncogenes and growth-  
constraining tumor-suppressor genes. Tumorigenesis can be  
caused by genetic alterations to the genome that result in  
the mutation of those cellular elements that govern the  
interpretation of cellular signals, such as potentiation of  
proto-oncogene activity or inactivation of tumor  
suppression. It is believed that the interpretation of  
these signals ultimately influences the growth and  
differentiation of a cell, and that misinterpretation of  
these signals can result in neoplastic growth (neoplasia).

Genetic alteration of the proto-oncogene Ras is  
believed to contribute to approximately 30% of all human  
tumors (Wiessmuller, L. and Wittinghofer, F. (1994),  
*Cellular Signaling* 6(3):247-267; Barbacid, M. (1987) *A Rev. Biochem.* 56, 779-827). The role that Ras plays in the  
pathogenesis of human tumors is specific to the type of  
tumor. Activating mutations in Ras itself are found in

CONFIDENTIAL

-2-

most types of human malignancies, and are highly represented in pancreatic cancer (80%), sporadic colorectal carcinomas (40-50%), human lung adenocarcinomas (15-24%), thyroid tumors (50%) and myeloid leukemia (30%) (Millis, NE  
5 et al. (1995) *Cancer Res.* 55:1444; Chaubert, P. et al. (1994), *Am. J. Path.* 144:767; Bos, J. (1989) *Cancer Res.* 49:4682). Ras activation is also demonstrated by upstream mitogenic signaling elements, notably by tyrosine receptor kinases (RTKs). These upstream elements, if amplified or  
10 overexpressed, ultimately result in elevated Ras activity by the signal transduction activity of Ras. Examples of this include overexpression of PDGFR in certain forms of glioblastomas, as well as in c-erbB-2/neu in breast cancer (Levitzki, A. (1994) *Eur. J. Biochem.* 226:1; James, P.W.,  
15 et al. (1994) *Oncogene* 9:3601; Bos, J. (1989) *Cancer Res.* 49:4682).

Current methods of treatment for neoplasia include surgery, chemotherapy and radiation. Surgery is typically used as the primary treatment for early stages of cancer;  
20 however, many tumors cannot be completely removed by surgical means. In addition, metastatic growth of neoplasms may prevent complete cure of cancer by surgery. Chemotherapy involves administration of compounds having antitumor activity, such as alkylating agents,  
25 antimetabolites, and antitumor antibiotics. The efficacy of chemotherapy is often limited by severe side effects, including nausea and vomiting, bone marrow depression, renal damage, and central nervous system depression. Radiation therapy relies on the greater ability of normal  
30 cells, in contrast with neoplastic cells, to repair

CONFIDENTIAL

-3-

themselves after treatment with radiation. Radiotherapy cannot be used to treat many neoplasms, however, because of the sensitivity of tissue surrounding the tumor. In addition, certain tumors have demonstrated resistance to  
5 radiotherapy and such may be dependent on oncogene or anti-oncogene status of the cell (Lee. J.M. et al. (1993) *PNAS* 90:5742-5746; Lowe. S.W. et al. (1994) *Science*, 266:807-810; Raybaud-Diogene. H. et al. (1997) *J. Clin. Oncology*, 15(3):1030-1038). In view of the drawbacks associated with  
10 the current means for treating neoplastic growth, the need still exists for improved methods for the treatment of most types of cancers.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention pertains to methods for treating  
15 neoplasia in a mammal, using reovirus. Reovirus is administered to a neoplasm, in which an element of the Ras signaling pathway (either upstream or downstream) is activated to an extent that results in reovirus-mediated oncolysis of cells of the neoplasm. The reovirus can be  
20 administered in a single dose or in multiple doses; furthermore, more than one neoplasm in an individual mammal can be treated concurrently. Both solid neoplasms and hematopoietic neoplasms can be targeted. The reovirus is administered so that it contacts cells of the mammal (e.g.,  
25 by injection directly into a solid neoplasm, or intravenously into the mammal for a hematopoietic neoplasm). The methods can be used to treat neoplasia in a variety of mammals, including mice, dogs, cats, sheep, goats, cows, horses, pigs, and non-human primates.

09089101-112101

CONFIDENTIAL

-4-

Preferably, the methods are used to treat neoplasia in humans.

The methods of the invention provide an effective means to treat neoplasia, without the side effects associated with other forms of cancer therapy. Furthermore, because reovirus is not known to be associated with disease, any safety concerns associated with deliberate administration of a virus are minimized.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWING

10 The Figure is a depiction of the molecular basis of reovirus oncolysis, in which the reovirus usurps the host cell Ras signalling pathway.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

15 The invention pertains to methods of treating a neoplasm in a mammal, by administering reovirus to the neoplasm. The name reovirus (Respiratory and enteric orphan virus) is a descriptive acronym suggesting that these viruses, although not associated with any known disease state in humans, can be isolated from both the respiratory and enteric tracts (Sabin, A.B. (1959), *Science* 20 130:966). The mammalian reovirus consists of three serotypes: type 1 (strain Lang or T1L), type 2 (strain Jones, T2J) and type 3 (strain Dearing or strain Abney, T3D). The three serotypes are easily identifiable on the basis of neutralization and hemagglutinin-inhibition assays (Sabin, A.B. (1959), *Science* 130:966; Fields, B.N. et al. (1996), Fundamental Virology, 3rd Edition, Lippincott-

CONFIDENTIAL

Raven; Rosen, L. (1960) *Am. J. Hyg.* 71:242; Stanley, N.F. (1967) *Br. Med. Bull.* 23:150).

Although reovirus is not known to be associated with any particular disease, many people have been exposed to reovirus by the time they reach adulthood (i.e., fewer than 25% in children < 5 years old, to greater than 50% in those 20-30 years old (Jackson G.G. and Muldoon R.L. (1973) *J. Infect. Dis.* 128:811; Stanley N.F. (1974) *In: Comparative Diagnosis of Viral Diseases*, edited by E. Kurstak and K. Kurstak, 385-421, Academic Press, New York)..

For mammalian reoviruses, the cell surface recognition signal is sialic acid (Armstrong, G.D. et al. (1984), *virology* 138:37; Gentsch, J.R.K. and Pacitti, A.F. (1985), *J. Virol.* 56:356; Paul R.W. et al. (1989) *Virology* 172:382-385). Due to the ubiquitous nature of sialic acid, reovirus binds efficiently to a multitude of cell lines and as such can potentially target many different tissues; however, there are significant differences in susceptibility to reovirus infection between cell lines.

As described herein, Applicants have discovered that cells which are resistant to reovirus infection became susceptible to reovirus infection when transformed with a gene in the Ras pathway. "Resistance" of cells to reovirus infection indicates that infection of the cells with the virus did not result in significant viral production or yield. Cells that are "susceptible" are those that demonstrate induction of cytopathic effects, viral protein synthesis, and/or virus production. Resistance to reovirus infection was found to be at the level of gene translation, rather than at early transcription: while viral

-6-

transcripts were produced, virus proteins were not expressed. Viral gene transcription in resistant cells correlated with phosphorylation of an approximately 65 kDa cell protein, determined to be double-stranded RNA-activated protein kinase (PKR), that was not observed in transformed cells. Phosphorylation of PKR lead to inhibition of translation. When phosphorylation was suppressed by 2-aminopurine, a known inhibitor of PKR, drastic enhancement of reovirus protein synthesis occurred in the untransformed cells. Furthermore, in a severe combined immunodeficiency (SCID) mouse model in which tumors were created on both the right and left hind flanks revealed that reovirus significantly reduced tumor size when injected directly into the right-side tumor; in addition, significant reduction in tumor size was also noted on the left-side tumor which was not directly injected with reovirus, indicating that the oncolytic capacity of the reovirus was systemic as well as local.

These results indicated that reovirus uses the host cell's Ras pathway machinery to downregulate PKR and thus reproduce. The Figure depicts the usurpation of the host cell Ras signalling pathway by reovirus. As shown in the Figure, for both untransformed (reovirus-resistant) and EGFR-, Sos-, or ras-transformed (reovirus-susceptible) cells, virus binding, internalization, uncoating, and early transcription of viral genes all proceed normally. In the case of untransformed cells, secondary structures on the early viral transcripts inevitably trigger the phosphorylation of PKR, thereby activating it, leading to the phosphorylation of the translation initiation factor

CONFIDENTIAL

-7-

eIF-2 $\alpha$ , and hence the inhibition of viral gene translation. In the case of EGFR-, Sos-, or ras-transformed cells, the PKR phosphorylation step is prevented or reversed by Ras or one of its downstream elements, thereby allowing viral gene translation to ensue. The action of Ras (or a downstream element) can be mimicked by the use of 2-aminopurine (2-AP), which promotes viral gene translation (and hence reovirus infection) in untransformed cells by blocking PKR phosphorylation.

10       Based upon these discoveries, Applicants have developed methods for treating neoplasms in mammals. Representative mammals include mice, dogs, cats, sheep, goats, cows, horses, pigs, non-human primates, and humans. In a preferred embodiment, the mammal is a human.

15       In the methods of the invention, reovirus is administered to a neoplasm in the individual mammal. Representative types of human reovirus that can be used include type 1 (e.g., strain Lang or T1L); type 2 (e.g., strain Jones or T2J); and type 3 (e.g., strain Dearing or strain Abney, T3D or T3A); other strains of reovirus can also be used. In a preferred embodiment, the reovirus is strain Dearing. Alternatively, the reovirus can be a non-human mammalian reovirus (e.g., non-human primate reovirus, such as baboon reovirus; equine; or canine reovirus), or a non-mammalian reovirus (e.g., avian reovirus). A combination of different serotypes and/or different strains of reovirus, such as reovirus from different species of animal, can be used. The reovirus is "naturally-occurring": that is, it can be isolated from a source in nature and has not been intentionally modified by humans in

TOCTT" TO 6860

CONFIDENTIAL

-8-

the laboratory. For example, the reovirus can be from a "field source": that is, from a human patient. If desired, the reovirus can be chemically or biochemically pretreated (e.g., by treatment with a protease, such as chymotrypsin or trypsin) prior to administration to the neoplasm. Such pretreatment removes the outer coat of the virus and may thereby result in better infectivity of the virus.

The neoplasm can be a solid neoplasm (e.g., sarcoma or carcinoma), or a cancerous growth affecting the hematopoietic system (a "hematopoietic neoplasm"; e.g., lymphoma or leukemia). A neoplasm is an abnormal tissue growth, generally forming a distinct mass, that grows by cellular proliferation more rapidly than normal tissue growth. Neoplasms show partial or total lack of structural organization and functional coordination with normal tissue. As used herein, a "neoplasm", also referred to as a "tumor", is intended to encompass hematopoietic neoplasms as well as solid neoplasms. At least some of the cells of the neoplasm have a mutation in which the Ras gene (or an element of the Ras signaling pathway) is activated, either directly (e.g., by an activating mutation in Ras) or indirectly (e.g., by activation of an upstream element in the Ras pathway). Activation of an upstream element in the Ras pathway includes, for example, transformation with epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) or Sos. A neoplasm that results, at least in part, by the activation of Ras, an upstream element of Ras, or an element in the Ras signalling pathway is referred to herein as a "Ras-mediated neoplasm". One neoplasm that is particularly susceptible to treatment by the methods of the invention is pancreatic

CONFIDENTIAL



-9-

cancer, because of the prevalence of Ras-mediated neoplasms associated with pancreatic cancer. Other neoplasms that are particularly susceptible to treatment by the methods of the invention include breast cancer, brain cancer (e.g.,  
5 glioblastoma), lung cancer, prostate cancer, colorectal cancer, thyroid cancer, renal cancer, adrenal cancer, liver cancer, and leukemia.

The reovirus is typically administered in a physiologically acceptable carrier or vehicle, such as  
10 phosphate-buffered saline, to the neoplasm.  
"Administration to a neoplasm" indicates that the reovirus is administered in a manner so that it contacts the cells of the neoplasm (also referred to herein as "neoplastic cells"). The route by which the reovirus is administered,  
15 as well as the formulation, carrier or vehicle, will depend on the location as well as the type of the neoplasm. A wide variety of administration routes can be employed. For example, for a solid neoplasm that is accessible, the reovirus can be administered by injection directly to the  
20 neoplasm. For a hematopoietic neoplasm, for example, the reovirus can be administered intravenously or intravascularly. For neoplasms that are not easily accessible within the body, such as metastases or brain tumors, the reovirus is administered in a manner such that  
25 it can be transported systemically through the body of the mammal and thereby reach the neoplasm (e.g., intrathecally, intravenously or intramuscularly). Alternatively, the reovirus can be administered directly to a single solid neoplasm, where it then is carried systemically through the  
30 body to metastases. The reovirus can also be administered

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

-10-

subcutaneously, intraperitoneally, topically (e.g., for melanoma), orally (e.g., for oral or esophageal neoplasm), rectally (e.g., for colorectal neoplasm), vaginally (e.g., for cervical or vaginal neoplasm), nasally or by inhalation spray (e.g., for lung neoplasm).

5 The reovirus is administered in an amount that is sufficient to treat the neoplasm (e.g., an "effective amount"). A neoplasm is "treated" when administration of reovirus to cells of the neoplasm effects oncolysis of the  
10 neoplastic cells, resulting in a reduction in size of the neoplasm, or in a complete elimination of the neoplasm. The reduction in size of the neoplasm, or elimination of the neoplasm, is generally caused by lysis of neoplastic cells ("oncolysis") by the reovirus. The effective amount  
15 will be determined on an individual basis and may be based, at least in part, on consideration of the type of reovirus; the individual's size, age, gender; and the size and other characteristics of the neoplasm. For example, for treatment of a human, approximately  $10^3$  to  $10^{12}$  plaque  
20 forming units (PFU) of reovirus can be used, depending on the type, size and number of tumors present. The reovirus can be administered in a single dose, or multiple doses (i.e., more than one dose). The multiple doses can be administered concurrently, or consecutively (e.g., over a  
25 period of days or weeks). The reovirus can also be administered to more than one neoplasm in the same individual.

The invention is further illustrated by the following Exemplification.

CONFIDENTIAL

-11-

## EXEMPLIFICATION

MATERIALS AND METHODS*Cells and Virus*

Parental NIH-3T3 cell lines along with NIH-3T3 cells  
5 transformed with a number of oncogenes were obtained from a  
variety of sources. Parental NIH-3T3 and NIH-3T3 cells  
transfected with the Harvey-ras (H-ras) and EJ-ras  
oncogenes were a generous gift of Dr. Douglas Faller  
(Boston University School of Medicine). NIH-3T3 cells  
10 along with their Sos-transformed counterparts (designated  
TNIH#5) were a generous gift of Dr. Michael Karin  
(University of California, San Diego). Dr. H.-J. Kung  
(Case Western Reserve University) kindly donated parental  
NIH-3T3 cells along with NIH-3T3 cells transfected with the  
15 v-erbB oncogene (designated THC-11). 2H1 cells, a  
derivative of the C3H 10T1/2 murine fibroblast line,  
containing the Harvey-ras gene under the transcriptional  
control of the mouse metallothionein-I promoter were  
obtained from Dr. Nobumichi Hozumi (Mount Sinai Hospital  
20 Research Institute). These 2H1 cells are conditional ras  
transformant that express the H-ras oncogene in the  
presence of 50  $\mu$ M ZnSO<sub>4</sub>. All cell lines were grown in  
Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) containing 10%  
fetal bovine serum (FBS).  
25 The Dearing strain of reovirus serotype 3 used in  
these studies was propagated in suspension cultures of L  
cells and purified according to Smith (Smith, R.E. et al.,  
(1969) *Virology*, 39:791-800) with the exception that  $\beta$ -  
mercaptoethanol ( $\beta$ -ME) was omitted from the extraction

CONFIDENTIAL

-12-

buffer. Reovirus labelled with [<sup>35</sup>S]methionine was grown and purified as described by McRae and Joklik (McRae, M.A. and Joklik, W.K., (1978) *Virology*, 89:578-593). The particle/PFU ratio for purified reovirus was typically 100/1.

*Immunofluorescent analysis of reovirus infection*

For the immunofluorescent studies the NIH-3T3, TNH#5, H-ras, EJ-ras, 2H1 (+/- ZnSO<sub>4</sub>), and THC-11 cells were grown on coverslips, and infected with reovirus at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 10 PFU cell or mock-infected by application of the carrier agent (phosphate-buffered saline, PBS) to the cells in an identical fashion as the administration of virus to the cells. At 48 hours postinfection, cells were fixed in an ethanol/acetic acid (20/1) mixture for 5 minutes, then rehydrated by sequential washes in 75%, 50% and 25% ethanol, followed by four washes with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). The fixed and rehydrated cells were then exposed to the primary antibody (rabbit polyclonal anti-reovirus type 3 serum diluted 1/100 in PBS) [antiserum prepared by injection of rabbits with reovirus serotype 3 in Freund's complete adjuvant, and subsequent bleedings] for 2 hours at room temperature. Following three washes with PBS, the cells were exposed to the secondary antibody [goat anti-rabbit IgG (whole molecule)-fluorescein isothiocyanate conjugate (FITC) [Sigma ImmunoChemicals F-0382] diluted 1/100 in PBS containing 10% goat serum and 0.005% Evan's Blue ] for 1 hour at room temperature. Finally, the fixed and treated cells were washed three more times with PBS and then once

CONFIDENTIAL

-13-

with double-distilled water, dried and mounted on slides in 90% glycerol containing 0.1% phenylenediamine, and viewed with a Zeiss Axiophot microscope on which Carl Zeiss camera was mounted (the magnification for all pictures was 200X).

5        *Radiolabelling of reovirus-infected cells and preparation of lysates*

Confluent monolayers of NIH-3T3, TNIH#5, H-ras, EJ-ras, 2H1 (+/- ZnSO<sub>4</sub>), and THC-11 cells were infected with reovirus (MOI ~10 PFU/cell). At 12 hours postinfection, the media was replaced with methionine-free DMEM containing 10% dialyzed FBS and 0.1 mCi/ml [<sup>35</sup>S]methionine. After further incubation for 36 hours at 37°C, the cells were washed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and lysed in the same buffer containing 1% Triton X-100, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate and 1 mM EDTA. The nuclei were then removed by low speed centrifugation and the supernatants were stored at -70°C until use.

*Preparation of cytoplasmic extracts for in vitro kinase assays*

Confluent monolayers of the various cell lines were grown on 96 well cell culture plates. At the appropriate time postinfection the media was aspirated off and the cells were lysed with a buffer containing 20mM HEPES [pH 7.4], 120 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 0.5% Nonidet P-40, 2 µg/ml leupeptin, and 50 µg/ml aprotinin. The nuclei were then removed by low-speed centrifugation and the supernatants were stored at -70°C until use.

CONFIDENTIAL

-14-

09089101-11101

Cytoplasmic extracts were normalized for protein concentrations before use by the Bio-Rad protein microassay method. Each in vitro kinase reaction contained 20  $\mu$ l of cell extract, 7.5  $\mu$ l of reaction buffer (20 mM HEPES [pH 7.4], 120 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mM dithiothreitol, and 10% glycerol) and 7.0  $\mu$ l of ATP mixture (1.0  $\mu$ Ci[ $\gamma$ -<sup>32</sup>P]ATP in 7  $\mu$ l of reaction buffer), and was incubated for 30 minutes at 37°C (Mundschau, L.J., and Faller, D.V., *J. Biol. Chem.*, 267:23092-23098 (1992)). Immediately after incubation the labelled extracts were either boiled in Laemmli SDS-sample buffer or were either precipitated with agarose-poly(I)poly(C) beads or immunoprecipitated with an anti-PKR antibody.

*Agarose poly(I)poly(C) precipitation*

15 To each in vitro kinase reaction mixture, 30  $\mu$ l of a 50% Ag poly(I)poly(C) Type 6 slurry (Pharmacia LKB Biotechnology) was added, and the mixture was incubated at 4°C for 1 h. The Ag poly(I)poly(C) beads with the absorbed, labelled proteins were then washed four times with was buffer (20 mM HEPES [7.5 pH], 90 mM KCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 2 mM dithiothreitol, 10% glycerol) at room temperature and mixed with 2X Laemmli SDS sample buffer. The beads were then boiled for 5 min, and the released proteins were analyzed by SDS-PAGE.

25 *Polymerase chain reaction*

Cells at various times postinfection were harvested and resuspended in ice cold TNE (10 mM Tris [pH 7.8], 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA) to which NP-40 was then added to a

SUB  
B1

CONFIDENTIAL

-15-

final concentration of 1%. After 5 minutes, the nuclei were pelleted and RNA was extracted from the supernatant using the phenol:chloroform procedure. Equal amounts of total cellular RNA from each sample were then subjected to

5 RT-PCR (Wong, H., et al., (1994) *Anal. Biochem.*, 223:251-258) using random hexanucleotide primers (Pharmacia) and RTase (GIBCO-BRL) according to the manufacturers' protocol. The cDNA's from the RT-PCR step was then subjected to selective amplification of reovirus s1 cDNA using the

10 primer 5'-AATTCGATTAGGTGACACTATAGCTATTGGTCGGATG-3' (SEQ ID NO:1) and 5'-CCCTTTTGACAGTGATGCTCCGTTATCACTCG-3' (SEQ ID NO:2) that amplify a predicted 116 bp fragment. These primer sequences were derived from the S1 sequence determined previously (Nagata, L., et al., (1984) *Nucleic*

15 *Acids Res.*, 12:8699-8710). The GAPDH primers (Wong, H., et al., (1994) *Anal. Biochem.*, 223:251-258), 5'-CGGAGTCAACGGATTTGGTCGTAT-3' (SEQ ID NO:3) and 5'-AGCCTTCTCCATGGTGGTGAAGAC-3' (SEQ ID NO:4) were used to amplify a predicted 306 bp GAPDH fragment which served as a

20 PCR and gel loading control. Selective amplification of the s1 and GAPDH cDNA's was performed using Taq DNA polymerase (GIBCO-BRL) according to the manufacturers' protocol using a Perkin Elmer Gene Amp PCR system 9600. PCR was carried out for 28 cycles with each consisting of a

25 denaturing step for 30 seconds at 97°C, annealing step for 45 seconds at 55°C, and polymerization step for 60 seconds at 72°C. PCR products were analyzed by electrophoresis through an ethidium bromide-impregnated TAE-2% agarose gel and photographed under ultra-violet illumination with

30 Polaroid 57 film. >

CONFIDENTIAL

-16-

*Immunoprecipitation and SDS-PAGE analysis*

Immunoprecipitation of <sup>35</sup>S-labelled reovirus-infected cell lysates with anti-reovirus serotype 3 serum was carried out as previously described (Lee, P.W.K. et al. (1981) *Virology*, 108:134-146). Immunoprecipitation of <sup>32</sup>P-labelled cell lysates with an anti-PKR antibody (from Dr. Michael Mathews, Cold Spring Harbor) was similarly carried out. Immunoprecipitates were analyzed by discontinuous SDS-PAGE according to the protocol of Laemmli (Laemmli, U.K., (1970) *Nature*, 227:680-685).

EXAMPLE 1.      *Activated Intermediates in the Ras Signalling Pathway Augment Reovirus Infection Efficiency*

It was previously shown that 3T3 cells and their derivatives lacking epidermal growth factor receptors (EGFR) are poorly infectible by reovirus, whereas the same cells transformed with either EGFR or v-erb B are highly susceptible as determined by cytopathic effects, viral protein synthesis, and virus output (Strong, J.E. et al., (1993) *Virology*, 197:405-411; Strong, J.E. and Lee, P.W.K., (1996) *J. Virol.*, 70:612-616).

To determine whether downstream mediators of the EGFR signal transduction pathway may be involved, a number of different NIH 3T3-derived, transformed with constitutively activated oncogenes downstream of the EGFR, were assayed for relative susceptibility to reovirus infection. Of particular interest were intermediates in the ras signalling pathway (reviewed by Barbacid, M., *Annu. Rev. Biochem.*, 56:779-827 (1987); Cahill, M.A., et al., *Curr.*

CONFIDENTIAL



-17-

5 Biol., 6:16-19 (1996). To investigate the Ras signalling pathway, NIH 3T3 parental cell lines and NIH 3T3 lines transfected with activated versions of Sos (Aronheim, A., et al., (1994) *Cell*, 78:949-961) or ras (Mundschau, L.J. and Faller, D.V., (1992) *J. Biol. Chem.*, 267:23092-23098) oncogenes were exposed to reovirus, and their capacity to promote viral protein synthesis was compared.

10 Detection of viral proteins was initially carried out using indirect immunofluorescent microscopy as described above. The results indicated that whereas the NIH 3T3 cells adopted a typically flattened, spread-out morphology with marked contact inhibition, the transformed cells all grew as spindle-shaped cells with much less contact inhibition. On comparing the uninfected parental cell lines with the various transformed cell lines, it was apparent that the morphology of the cells was quite distinct upon transformation. Upon challenge with reovirus, it became clear that parental NIH 3T3 line was poorly infectible (<5%), regardless of the source of the parental NIH 3T3 line. In contrast, the transfected cell lines each demonstrated relatively pronounced immunofluorescence by 48 hours postinfection (data not shown).

25 To demonstrate that viral protein synthesis was more efficient in the Sos- or Ras-transformed cell lines, cells were continuously labeled with [<sup>35</sup>S]-methionine from 12 to 48 hr postinfection and the proteins were analyzed by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE), as described above.

FOUO FOR 6860

CONFIDENTIAL

• • • • •

10

## 15

20

25

**CONFIDENTIAL**

-19-

immunofluorescent analysis of these cells at 48 hours postinfection or mock-infection.

The results (not shown) demonstrated that uninduced cells were poorly infectible (<8%) whereas those induced for only 18 hours were much more susceptible (>40%). Enhanced viral protein synthesis in the Zn-induced 2H1 cells was further confirmed by metabolic labeling of the cells with [<sup>35</sup>S]methionine followed by SDS-PAGE analysis of virus-specific proteins (not shown).

Based on these observations, the augmentation of reovirus infection efficiency in the transformed cells is a direct result of the activated oncogene product(s), and not due to other factors such as aneuploidy often associated with long-term transformation, or other accumulated mutations that may be acquired under a chronically transformed state (e.g., p53 or myc activation).

EXAMPLE 3. Viral Transcripts are Generated but Not Translated in Reovirus-Resistant NIH 3T3 Cells

The step at which reovirus infection is blocked in nonsusceptible NIH 3T3 cells was also identified. Because virus binding and virus internalization for nonsusceptible cells were comparable to those observed for susceptible cells (Strong, J.E. et al., (1993) *Virology*, 197:405-411), the transcription of viral genes was investigated.

The relative amounts of reovirus S1 transcripts generated in NIH 3T3 cells and the Ras-transformed cells during the first 12 hours of infection were compared after amplification of these transcripts by polymerase chain

CONFIDENTIAL

-20-

reaction (PCR), as described above. The results demonstrated that the rates of accumulation of S1 transcripts in the two cell lines were similar, at least up to 12 hours postinfection. Similar data were obtained when rates of accumulation of other reovirus transcripts were compared (data not shown). These results demonstrate that infection block in nonsusceptible cells is not at the level of transcription of viral genes, but rather, at the level of translation of the transcripts.

10 EXAMPLE 4. A 65 kDa Protein is Phosphorylated in  
Reovirus-treated NIH 3T3 Cells but Not in  
Reovirus-infected Transformed Cells

Because viral transcripts were generated, but not translated, in NIH 3T3 cells, it was investigated whether the double-stranded RNA (dsRNA)-activated kinase, PKR, is activated (phosphorylated) in these cells (for example, by S1 mRNA transcripts which have been shown to be potent activators of PKR ((Bischoff, J.R. and Samuel, C.E., (1989) *Virology*, 172:106-115), which in turn leads to inhibition of translation of viral genes. The corollary of such a scenario would be that in the case of the transformed cells, this activation is prevented, allowing viral protein synthesis to ensue.

NIH 3T3 cells and v-erbB- or Ras- transformed cells (designated THC-11 and H-ras, respectively) were treated with reovirus (i.e., infected) or mock-infected (as above), and at 48 hours post treatment, subjected to *in vitro* kinase reactions, followed by autoradiographic analysis as described above.

09089101-1100  
T022T T06660

CONFIDENTIAL

-21-

0999101 11101  
T0121 T016860

The results clearly demonstrated that there was a distinct phosphoprotein migrating at approximately 65 kDa, the expected size of PKR, only in the NIH 3T3 cells and only after exposure to reovirus. This protein was not  
5 labeled in the lysates of either the uninfected transformed cell lines or the infected transformed cell lines. Instead, a protein migrating at approximately 100 kDa was found to be labeled in the transformed cell lines after viral infection. This protein was absent in either the  
10 preinfection or the postinfection lysates of the NIH 3T3 cell line, and was not a reovirus protein because it did not react with an anti-reovirus serum that precipitated all reovirus proteins (data not shown). A similar 100 kDa  
15 protein was also found to be <sup>32</sup>P-labeled in *in vitro* kinase reactions of postinfection lysates of the Sos-transformed cell lines (data not shown).

That intermediates in the Ras signalling pathway were responsible for the lack of phosphorylation of the 65 kDa protein was further confirmed by the use of the 2H1 cells  
20 which contain a Zn-inducible Ras oncogene. Uninduced 2H1 cells (relatively resistant to reovirus infection, as shown above), were capable of producing the 65 kDa phosphoprotein only after exposure to virus. However, 2H1 cells subjected to Zn-induction of the H-Ras oncogene showed significant  
25 impairment of the production of this phosphoprotein. This impairment coincided with the enhancement of viral synthesis. These results therefore eliminated the possibility that the induction of the 65 kDa phosphoprotein was an NIH 3T3-specific event, and clearly established the  
30 role of Ras in preventing (or reversing) induction of the

CONFIDENTIAL

production of this phosphoprotein. The Zn-induced 2H1 cells did not produce the 100 kDa phosphoprotein seen in the infected, chronically transformed H-Ras cells.

## 5

10

15

20

25

-23-

phosphoprotein is not due to dsRNA present in the input reovirus; rather, it requires *de novo* transcription of the viral genes, consistent with the identification of the 65 kDa phosphoprotein as PKR.

5 EXAMPLE 6. Identification of the 65 kDa Phosphoprotein as PKR

10 To determine whether the 65 kDa phosphoprotein was PKR, a dsRNA binding experiment was carried out in which poly(I)-poly(c) agarose beads were added to <sup>32</sup>P-labeled lysates, as described above. After incubation for 30 minutes at 4°C, the beads were washed, and bound proteins were released and analyzed by SDS-PAGE. The results showed that the 65 kDa phosphoprotein produced in the postinfection NIH 3T3 cell lysates was capable of binding to dsRNA; such binding is a well-recognized characteristic of PKR. In contrast, the 100 kDa phosphoprotein detected in the infected H-ras-transformed cell line did not bind to the Poly(I)-poly(c) agarose. The 65 kDa phosphoprotein was also immunoprecipitable with a PKR-specific antibody (provided by Dr. Mike Mathews, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory), confirming that it was indeed PKR.

15 EXAMPLE 7. 2-aminopurine Treatment of NIH 3T3 Cells confers Enhanced Infectibility

20 If PKR phosphorylation is responsible for the shut-off of viral gene translation in NIH-3T3 cells, and one of the functions of the activated oncogene product(s) in the transformed cells is the prevention of this phosphorylation event, then inhibition of PKR phosphorylation in NIH-3T3

CONFIDENTIAL

-24-

cells by other means (e.g. drugs) should result in the enhancement of viral protein synthesis, and hence infection, in these cells. To test this idea, 2-aminopurine was used. This drug has been shown to possess relatively specific inhibitory activity towards PKR autophosphorylation (Samuel, C.E. and Brody, M., (1990) *Virology*, 176:106-113; Hu, Y. and Conway, T.W. (1993), *J. Interferon Res.*, 13:323-328). Accordingly, NIH 3T3 cells were exposed to 5 mM 2-aminopurine concurrently with exposure to reovirus. The cells were labeled with [<sup>35</sup>S]methionine from 12 to 48 h postinfection, and lysates were harvested and analyzed by SDS-PAGE.

The results demonstrated that exposure to 2-aminopurine resulted in a significantly higher level of viral protein synthesis in NIH 3T3 cells (not shown). The enhancement was particularly pronounced after immunoprecipitating the lysates with an anti-reovirus serum. These results demonstrate that PKR phosphorylation leads to inhibition of viral gene translation, and that inhibition of this phosphorylation event releases the translation block. Therefore, intermediates in the Ras signalling pathway negatively regulate PKR, leading to enhanced infectibility of Ras-transformed cells.

Interferon  $\beta$ , known to induce PKR expression, was found to significantly reduce reovirus replication in Ras-transformed cells (data not shown).

#### EXAMPLE 8. In Vivo Oncolytic Capability of Reovirus

A severe combined immunodeficiency (SCID) host tumor model was used to assess the efficacy of utilizing reovirus

CONFIDENTIAL



-25-

for tumor reduction. Male and female SCID mice (Charles River, Canada) were injected with v-erbB-transformed NIH 3T3 mouse fibroblasts (designated THC-11 cells) in two subcutaneous sites overlying the hind flanks. In a first trial, an injection bolus of  $2.3 \times 10^5$  cells in 100  $\mu$ l of sterile PBS was used. In a second trial, an injection bolus of  $4.8 \times 10^6$  cells in 100  $\mu$ l PBS was used. Palpable tumors were evident approximately two to three weeks post injection.

10 Reovirus serotype three (strain Dearing) was injected into the right-side tumor mass (the "treated tumor mass") in a volume of 20  $\mu$ l at a concentration of  $1.0 \times 10^9$  plaque forming units (PFU)/ml. The left-side tumor mass (the "untreated tumor mass") was left untreated. The mice were  
15 observed for a period of seven days following injection with reovirus, measurements of tumor size were taken every two days using calipers, and weight of tumors was measured after sacrifice of the animals. All mice were sacrificed on the seventh day. Results are shown in Table 1.

09089701-12101  
"T02T" T06860

CONFIDENTIAL

Table 1 Tumor Mass after Treatment with Reovirus

Trial 1 (n=8)	mean untreated tumor mass	602 mg
	mean treated tumor mass	284 mg
Trial 2 (n = 12)		
	mean control tumor mass	1523.5 mg
	mean untreated tumor mass	720.9 mg
	mean treated tumor mass	228.0 mg

5 The treated tumor mass was 47% of that of the untreated tumor mass in trial 1, and 31.6% of the untreated tumor mass in trial 2. These results indicated that the virus-treated tumors were substantially smaller than the untreated tumors, and that there may be an additional systemic effect of the virus on the untreated tumor mass.

10 Example 9: In Vivo Oncolytic Capability of Reovirus Against Human Breast Cancer-Derived Cell Lines

15 *In vivo* studies were also carried out using human breast carcinoma cells in a SCID mouse model. Female SCID mice were injected with  $1 \times 10^9$  MDAMB468 cells in two subcutaneous sites, overlying both hind flanks. Palpable tumors were evident approximately two to four weeks post injection. Undiluted reovirus serotype three (strain Dearing) was injected into the right side tumor mass in a volume of 20  $\mu$ l at a concentration of  $1.0 \times 10^{10}$  PFU/ml.  
20 The following results were obtained:

-27-

Table 2 Tumor Mass After Treatment with Reovirus

TREATMENT	mean untreated tumor mass (left side)	mean treated tumor mass (right side)
Reovirus (N=8)	29.02 g	38.33 g
Control (N=8)	171.8 g	128.54 g

- 5 \*Note: One of the control mice died early during the treatment phase. None of the reovirus-treated mice died.

Although these studies were preliminary, it was clear that the size of the tumors in the reovirus-treated animals was substantially lower than that in the untreated animals. However, the size of the tumors on the right (treated) side of the reovirus-treated animals was slightly larger on average than the left (untreated) side. This was unexpected but may be explained by the composition of the mass being taken up by inflammatory cells with subsequent fibrosis, as well as by the fact that these tumors were originally larger on the right side on average than the left. The histologic composition of the tumor masses is being investigated. These results also support the systemic effect the reovirus has on the size of the untreated tumor on the contralateral slide of reovirus injection.

EXAMPLE 10. Susceptibility of Additional Human Tumors to Reovirus Oncolysis

In view of the *in vivo* results presented above, the oncolytic capability observed in murine cells was investigated in cell lines derived from additional human tumors.

CONFIDENTIAL

-28-

A. Materials and MethodsCells and Virus

All cell lines were grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS).

The Dearing strain of reovirus serotype 3 used in these studies was propagated in suspension cultures of L cells and purified according to Smith (Smith, R.E. et al., (1969) *Virology*, 39:791-800) with the exception that  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol ( $\beta$ -ME) was omitted from the extraction buffer. Reovirus labelled with [ $^{35}$ S]methionine was grown and purified as described by McRae and Joklik (McRae, M.A. and Joklik, W.K., (1978) *Virology*, 89:578-593). The particle/PFU ration for purified reovirus was typically 100/1.

Cytopathic effects of reovirus on cells

Confluent monolayers of cells were infected with reovirus serotype 3 (strain Dearing) at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of approximately 40 plaque forming units (PFU) per cell. Pictures were taken at 36 hour postinfection for both reovirus-infected and mock-infected cells.

Immunofluorescent analysis of reovirus infection

For the immunofluorescent studies the cells were grown on coverslips, and infected with reovirus at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 10 PFU/cell or mock-infected as described above. At various times postinfection, cells were fixed in an ethanol/acetic acid (20/1) mixture for 5

CONFIDENTIAL

-29-

minutes, then rehydrated by subsequential washes in 75%, 50% and 25% ethanol, followed by 4 washes with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). The fixed and rehydrated cells were then exposed to the primary antibody (rabbit polyclonal anti-reovirus type 3 serum diluted 1/100 in PBS) for 2 hr at room temperature. Following 3 washes with PBS, the cells were exposed to the secondary antibody [goat anti-rabbit IgG (whole molecule) fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) conjugate diluted 1/100 in PBS containing 10% goat serum and 0.005% Evan's Blue counterstain] for 1 hour at room temperature. Finally, the fixed and treated cells were washed 3 more times with PBS, followed by 1 wash with double-distilled water, dried and mounted on slides in 90% glycerol containing 0.1% phenylenediamine, and viewed with a Zeiss Axiophot microscope mounted with a Carl Zeiss camera (magnification for all pictures was 200 x).

*Infection of cells and quantitation of virus*

Confluent monolayers of cells grown in 24-well plates were infected with reovirus at an estimated multiplicity of 10 PFU/cell. After 1 hour incubation at 37°C, the monolayers were washed with warm DMEM-10% FBS, and then incubated in the same medium. At various times postinfection, a mixture of NP-40 and sodium deoxycholate was added directly to the medium on the infected monolayers to final concentrations of 1% and 0.5%, respectively. The lysates were then harvested and virus yields were determined by plaque titration on L-929 cells.

CONFIDENTIAL

-30-

*Radiolabelling of reovirus-Infected cells and  
preparation of lysates*

Confluent monolayers of cells were infected with reovirus (MOI ~10 PFU/cell). At various times  
5 postinfection, the media was replaced with methionine-free DMEM containing 10% dialyzed PBS and 0.1 mCi/ml [<sup>35</sup>S]methionine. After further incubation for 1 hour at 37°C, the cells were washed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and lysed in the same buffer containing 1% Triton X-  
10 100, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate and 1 mM EDTA. The nuclei were then removed by low speed centrifugation and the supernatants was stored at 70°C until use.

*Immunoprecipitation and SDS-PAGE analysis*

Immunoprecipitation of [<sup>35</sup>S]-labelled reovirus-  
15 infected cell lysates with anti-reovirus serotype 3 serum was carried out as previously described (Lee, P.W.K. et al. (1981) *Virology*, 108:134-146). Immunoprecipitates were analyzed by discontinuous SDS-PAGE according to the protocol of Laemmli (Laemmli, U.K., (1970) *Nature*, 227:680-  
20 685).

B. Breast Cancer

The c-erbB-2/neu gene encodes a transmembrane protein with extensive homology to the EGFR that is overexpressed in 20-30% of patients with breast cancer (Yu, D. et al.  
25 (1996) *Oncogene* 13:1359). Since it has been established herein that Ras activation, either through point mutations or through augmented signaling cascade elements upstream of Ras (including the c-erbB-2/neu homologue EGFR) ultimately

CONFIDENTIAL

-31-

creates a hospitable environment for reovirus replication, an array of cell lines derived from human breast cancers were assayed for reovirus susceptibility. The cell lines included MDA-MD-435SD (ATCC deposit HTB-129), MCF-7 (ATCC  
5 deposit HTB-22), T-27-D (ATCC deposit HTB-133), BT-20 (ATCC deposit HTB-19), HBL-100 (ATCC deposit HTB-124), MDA-MB-468 (ATCC deposit HTB-132), and SKBR-3 (ATCC deposit HTB-30).

Based upon induction of cytopathic effects, and viral protein synthesis as measured by radioactive metabolic  
10 labeling and immunofluorescence as described above, it was found that five out of seven of the tested breast cancers were susceptible to reovirus infection: MDA-MB-435S, MCF-7, T-27-D, MDA MB-468, and SKBR-3 were exquisitely sensitive to infection, while BT-20 and HBL-100 demonstrated no  
15 infectibility.

#### C. Brain Glioblastoma

Next a variety of cell lines derived from human brain glioblastomas was investigated. The cell lines included A-172, U-118, U-178, U-563, U-251, U-87 and U-373 (cells  
20 were a generous gift from Dr. Wee Yong, University of Calgary).

Six out of seven glioblastoma cell lines demonstrated susceptibility to reovirus infection, including U-118, U-178, U-563, U-251, U-87 and U-373, while A-172 did not  
25 demonstrate any infectibility, as measured by cytopathic effects, immunofluorescence and [<sup>35</sup>S]-methionine labeling of reovirus proteins.

09089101-112101

CONFIDENTIAL

-32-

D. Pancreatic Carcinoma

Cell lines derived from pancreatic cancer were investigated for their susceptibility to reovirus infection. The cell lines included Capan-1 (ATCC deposit  
5 HTB-79), BxPC3 (ATCC deposit CRL-1687), MIAPACA-2 (ATCC deposit CRL-1420), PANC-1 (ATCC deposit CRL-1469), AsPC-1 (ATCC deposit CRL-1682) and Hs766T (ATCC deposit HTB-134).

Five of these six cell lines demonstrated susceptibility to reovirus infection including Capan-1,  
10 MIAPACA-2, PANC-1, AsPC-1 and Hs766T, whereas BxPC3 demonstrated little infectability as assayed by virus-induced cytopathological effects, immunofluorescence and [<sup>35</sup>S]-labelling. Interestingly, four of the five cell  
lines demonstrating susceptibility to reovirus oncolysis  
15 have been shown to possess transforming mutations in codon 12 of the K-ras gene (Capan-1, MIAPACA-2, PANC-1 and AsPC-1) whereas the one lacking such susceptibility (BxPC3) has been shown to lack such a mutation (Berrozpe, G., et al. (1994), *Int. J. Cancer*, 58:185-191). The status of the  
20 other K-ras codons is currently unknown for the Hs766T cell line.

EQUIVALENTS

Those skilled in the art will be able to recognize, or be able to ascertain, using no more than routine  
25 experimentation, many equivalents to the specific embodiments of the invention described herein. Such equivalents are intended to be encompassed by the following claims.

CONFIDENTIAL